

1 Kevin P. Roddy, CA State Bar No. 128283
2 *kroddy@wilentz.com*
3 WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
4 90 Woodbridge Center Drive, Suite 900
5 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095
6 Tel: (732) 855-6402

7 Shehnaz M. Bhujwala, State Bar No. 223484
8 BOUCHER LLP
9 21600 Oxnard Street, Suite 600
10 Woodland Hills, California 91367-4903
11 Tel: (818) 340-5400
12 Fax: (818) 340-5401

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION

LAURA WYLIE, an individual,,

Plaintiff,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., a New Jersey
Corporation; MERCK SHARP & DOHME
CORP., a New Jersey Corporation;
ORGANON & CO., a Delaware Corporation;
ORGANON LLC, a Delaware Limited
Liability Company; and DOES 1-10,
Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00604-WBS-DB

**STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE OF
BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
CLAIMS; ORDER**

(Local Rules 143, 144, and 230(f))

The Hon. William B. Shubb

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, the above-captioned action was removed to federal court by Defendants on or about April 5, 2022;

WHEREAS, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Wylie's Claims on April 12, 2022, which is set for hearing on June 27, 2022, at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 5 (ECF Dkt No. 6);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff contends she needs additional time to prepare the response to Defendants' Motion, which is presently due 14 days from the date of filing of Defendants' motions (by April 26, 2022) under Local Rule 230(c);

WHEREAS, Defendants do not object to a 15-day extension of the current briefing schedule;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff contend good cause exists to a proposed extension of the current briefing schedule on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Claims by at least 15 days to permit Plaintiff additional time to prepare the response to the Motion filed by Defendants, particularly as this case is one of four potentially related cases recently removed to federal court by Defendants and anticipates additional motions practice in those cases with near-in-time deadlines for responses;

WHEREAS, this is the first-such request for an extension of time; and

WHEREAS, this stipulated request is made prior to Plaintiff's deadline for response to Defendants' Motion and the hearing date on the Court's calendar is more than 7 days away,

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Plaintiff's deadline to file a response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Claims shall be extended by approximately 15 days, to May 11, 2022;

2. Defendants' deadline to file a reply in support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Claims shall be filed no later than 14 days after Plaintiff's response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Claims is filed, to May 25, 2022;

3. The hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Claims shall remain as noticed for June 27, 2022 at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 5.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 DATED: April 21, 2022

BOUCHER LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Shehnaz M. Bhujwala
5 SHEHNAZ M. BHUJWALA

6 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

7 DATED: April 21, 2022

VENABLE LLP


8
9 By: /s/ Shannon E. Beamer (as authorized on
10 April 21, 2022)
11 SHANNON E. BEAMER
12 NICOLE N. KING

13 *Attorneys for Defendants*

14
15 **ORDER**

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: April 22, 2022

18 
19 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28